(c) District-wise details of road works in Uttaranchal, whose construction could not be started in the absence of permission under the Forest (Conservation) Act are as under:-

| Sl.No | District | Phase-I | Phase-II | Phase-III | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Almora | - | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 2. | Bageshwar | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 3. | Chamoli | - | - | 4 | 4 |
| 4. | Champawat | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | Dehradun | 2 | - | 2 | 4 |
| 6. | Nainital | - | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 7. | Pauri | - | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| 8. | Pithoragarh | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| 9. | Rudraprayag | - | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 10. | Tehri | - | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. | Uttarkashi | - | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | TOTAL | 2 | 7 | 36 | 45 |

**Implementation of SGRY**

†2340. SHRI MANGANI LAL MANDAL: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have implemented SGRY in all the States of the country since September, 2001;

(b) if so, the State-wise allocation of funds and foodgrain to all States and Union Territories during the financial years 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04 along with quantum of funds released and the State-wise and district-wise status of off take of the foodgrains allocated; and

(c) the allocation of funds and foodgrains during financial year 2004-05 and the latest position of the action taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (c) The requisite information is being collected.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi